

# VoteForEnvironment.ca – Election 2008 Analysis

## Background

VoteForEnvironment.ca is a non-partisan effort dedicated to electing MPs who will support the environment. The 2008 federal election presented the possibility of electing of a majority government set on frustrating international cooperation to fight climate change. Specifically, the threat was that in 96 of Canada's 308 ridings, two or more parties looked set to split the vote and potentially allow a Conservative to win.

VFE's aim was to ensure the fewest number of Conservative candidates were elected and to avoid a Harper majority. VFE's modus operandi was to provide voters in these ridings which candidate was most likely to win and fend off or displace the Conservative candidate. VFE did not make a recommendation in Ridings where strategic voting was un-necessary or unlikely to avoid a Conservative; this was the case for in the vast majority of ridings.

VFE's raison d'être was to help anti-Harper voters sort out how to vote to win. It is one thing to want to avoid a Conservative win, but another to know how to cast a vote that will not inadvertently split the vote and allow a Conservative win. VFE assumed that all other Parties were pro-environment.

## Overview of Activity

VFE launched on September 24 – about halfway into the election. The VFE website integrated a sophisticated database of electoral information made up of past election results, polling and local intelligence to determine party standing on a riding by riding basis. The extensive overnight polling in the 2008 election allowed regular and regionally sensitive analysis. A graphic representation allowed users to see likely outcomes for themselves, especially how vote-splitting could elect Conservatives and what number of votes would be needed to fend off a Conservative.

The website was produced in both French and English – VoteForEnvironment.ca and VoterPourL'Environnement.org were launched and updated in tandem. As soon as VFE launched it received extensive media coverage and spokespersons Kevin Grandia and Alice Klein were sought out regularly during the campaign to provide commentary on vote-splitting/strategic voting and the environment in the context of the election.

The VFE project was endorsed by a wide range of individuals and organizations including Gilles Duceppe, Leader of the Bloc Québécois, The Canadian Auto Workers (CAW), Avaaz, The Department of Culture, and prominent Canadians like Ron Sexsmith, Anne-Marie MacDonald, Anton Kuerti, Deepa Mehta and many others. It was also supported by a groundswell of over 7000 members on Facebook.

Within seven days of launching VFE received 100,000 unique visitors. Within 11 days the site had received over one million page views. By election day VFE had been visited by over 400,000 different Canadians, displayed 3.2 million pages, and continued to function without interruption despite a load of over 100 visitors per minute at peak times on election day.

*Other activity highlights:*

- An analysis of media mentions of VFE showed 48 print mentions, three TV mentions, and nine radio mentions for a total estimated circulation of 7,935,261 people.
- A Google search for “vote for environment” currently returns 39,000 results.
- In the Wikipedia article about the 2008 Federal election, the first election-related website mentioned is [VoteForEnvironment.ca](http://VoteForEnvironment.ca).

**Analysis**

VFE’s recommendations were 97.9% accurate (see attached post-election report card.) In 94 of the 96 ridings targeted, the VFE-recommended candidate either won or was the leading contender to a Conservative winner.

Notably, voters came together in seven ridings and defeated a Conservative that was set to win. In at least nine other ridings, an NDP or Liberal seats were won that could have fallen to Conservatives. Here is a list of these 16 key ridings and the number of unique visitors that viewed the VFE recommendation for each.

<b>Riding</b>	<b>VFE Unique Visitors</b>
Tobique—Mactaquac	5117
St. John's South—Mount Pearl / St. John's-Sud—Mount Pearl	4213
Louis-Hébert	10,905
Etobicoke—Lakeshore	10,872
Guelph	13,751
Mississauga South / Mississauga-Sud	6313
Mississauga—Streetsville	4300
Nipissing—Timiskaming	2133
Ottawa South / Ottawa-Sud	5382
Edmonton—Strathcona	10,525
Burnaby—Douglas	8441
Burnaby—New Westminster	5317
Esquimalt—Juan de Fuca	9153
New Westminster—Coquitlam	9016
Newton—North Delta / Newton—Delta-Nord	4803
Vancouver Quadra	21,544

The analysis and recommendations were potentially more effective than the above statistics show. Based on the popular vote represented by the 2008 election results, the VoteForEnvironment.ca riding prediction model showed the Conservatives should have won 152 seats (the numbers on the VFE site on election day were based on October 12<sup>th</sup> polling data,) which along with the two Independents and any other MP, would have given them an effective majority. The 143 seats they won is nine less than what their popular support should have given them. This means that another nine of the 96 strategic voting recommendations were likely successful in preventing Conservative wins.

VFE only made two recommendations that turned out to be incorrect. In Sarnia Lampton (Ontario) where the Conservative was re-elected with 23,008 votes, the NDP came second with 10,122 (12,886 behind), and all other parties totaled 13,011. VFE called for a Liberal vote because polling projected them 4.3% ahead of the NDP, and an NDP win in that riding would have been unprecedented. The NDP would have had to take 99% of the remaining vote to win. In other words, this riding should have been selected as one where strategic voting could make a difference.

In South Shore (Nova Scotia) the Conservative was also re-elected with 14,395 votes, followed by the NDP with 13,457, and the Liberals with 9,468. VFE's recommendation to vote Liberal was a mistake. A persistent lobby from within the riding influenced the selection. The information appeared to check out but did not actually reflect what was happening on the ground or match what the VFE data was showing. While 938 votes is a lot in a small riding, it is possible this riding could have been won if even 10% of Liberal voters would have strategically backed the NDP candidate.

In the short period of two weeks before Election Day VFE appears to have been a useful source of information in all the key ridings, helpful in electing pro-environment candidates in 16 ridings, and critical to avoiding anti-environment Conservatives in seven ridings.

VFE was a countrywide success. The site enjoyed support and overwhelming interest from all provinces and territories, and received visits from 509 cities.

<u>Top 10 Cities for Website Visits</u>		
	<i>City</i>	<i># of Visits</i>
1	Montreal	71,234
2	Vancouver	64,391
3	Toronto	57,284
4	Ottawa	41,998
5	Levis	26,244
6	Victoria	20,680
7	Halifax	16,251
8	Mont-Royal	15,149
9	Edmonton	13,565
10	Winnipeg	12,544

# Model Description

During the 2008 Federal Election, VoteForEnvironment.ca (VFE) used the same type of math polling firms use to do seat projections based on available opinion research from all the major pollsters. VFE made this information available to voters as they look to make their choice in ridings that had a strong chance of electing a Conservative because of vote splitting. The estimate of current support was also available to voters as they considered which opposition party candidates had the best chance of defeating the Conservative in each of those ridings.

The following is a detailed analysis of how accurate and effective VFE was in the 2008 Federal Election. The analysis shows that VFE bolstered strategic voting efforts and was seen as a useful tool by citizens.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### **Estimated Seat Counts (page 5)**

Compares the estimated seat counts per region from both October 12 (the latest polling day VFE was able to use to make their final recommendations) and the election day vote share. These counts are also compared with the actual 2008 results.

### **Effect of Picks (page 6)**

Shows the number of ridings where VFE picks made a difference, and the number of ridings where Conservatives, who were leading on election day (E-day) ultimately lost, along with whether the VFE pick made a difference.

### **Regional Results (pages 7 - 18)**

Eight province/region analyses provide detailed riding-level breakdown of the VFE picks and their effectiveness.

# Estimated Seat Counts

## Estimates Based on Oct 12 Polling Data

Prov	Estimated Wins						Total Ridings
	CON	NDP	LIB	GRN	BQ	OTH	
AB	28	0	0	0	0	0	28
BC	22	9	5	0	0	0	36
MB	8	3	3	0	0	0	14
ON	47	19	40	0	0	0	106
QC	8	1	13	0	52	1	75
SK	13	0	1	0	0	0	14
AT	5	5	21	0	0	1	32
NO	0	1	2	0	0	0	3
<b>Totals</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>308</b>
<b>Elected</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>308</b>
<b>Delta</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>-1</b>	<b>-9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

The above table shows what VFE was predicting based on available polls on Oct. 12 and compares them to actual election results.

## Estimates Based on Election Day Vote Share

Prov	Estimated Wins						Total Ridings
	CON	NDP	LIB	GRN	BQ	OTH	
AB	28	0	0	0	0	0	28
BC	27	6	3	0	0	0	36
MB	10	3	1	0	0	0	14
ON	55	16	35	0	0	0	106
QC	11	1	15	0	47	1	75
SK	13	0	1	0	0	0	14
AT	7	4	20	0	0	1	32
NO	1	1	1	0	0	0	3
<b>Totals</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>308</b>
<b>Elected</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>308</b>
<b>Delta</b>	<b>-9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

The above table shows what the VFE model would have predicted if the polling numbers used were the election day popular vote share. This table shows what would have been expected if traditional voting patterns were followed and the provincial polling number used is the actual percentage on election day for each party.

# Effect of Picks

**VFE Pick Success**  
(Based on Oct 12 polling data)

	1	0	0
AB	1	0	0
BC	2	16	0
MB	0	7	0
ON	2	35	1
QC	0	9	0
SK	0	5	0
AT	2	14	1
NO	0	1	0
	<b>7</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>2</b>

**Avoided Loss Success**  
(Based on election day vote share)

	1	0	0
AB	1	0	0
BC	6	0	0
MB	1	0	0
ON	6	1	0
QC	1	0	0
SK	0	0	0
AT	2	0	0
NO	0	0	0
	<b>17</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>

- VFE pick excellent (Top Polling was CON but VFE Pick won)
- VFE pick very good (Top Polling was not CON, but VFE Pick won, or came in second to CON)
- VFE pick wrong (VFE Pick came 2nd to non-CON, OR VFE Pick came in 3rd or lower)
  
- Avoided loss excellent (CON leading on Election Day, but VFE Pick won)
- Avoided loss very good (CON leading on Election Day, but CON lost, with no VFE Pick selected)
- Avoided loss wrong (CON leading on Election Day, but CON lost, and VFE Pick did not win)

## VFE Pick Success

The purpose of the VFE Pick Success table above is to focus on the VFE Picks, evaluating all of them, ranking them on their utility in defeating Conservative candidates. The first bright green column shows the ridings where a leading Conservative was ultimately defeated by the VFE Pick. The dark green column represents ridings where the VFE Pick won the riding or the VFE Pick came in second to the Conservatives (in other words, the correct party was picked but not enough strategic voting occurred to defeat the Conservative). The red column represents ridings where the VFE Pick turned out not to be the leading contender to the Conservatives.

## Avoided Loss Success

The purpose of the Avoided Loss Success table is to focus on the seats where Conservatives would have been leading given the actual E-Day vote share, but they were ultimately defeated. This is a good measure of the effectiveness of strategic voting. In this case, the bright green is the same as the VFE Pick Success table -- ridings where a VFE Pick defeating a leading Conservative. In this table, dark green denotes ridings where a leading Conservative lost but VFE did not make a pick. The red column in this table represents ridings where a leading Conservative lost, but VFE supported a candidate other than the one that defeated the Conservative.

# Regional Results

## Regional Results

The following pages provide regional breakdowns of the voting results. See below for a description of the columns used in the regional tables.

### Terminology Reference

<b>Regional Summary Tables</b>	
2006 Seat Counts (Election Day, actual)	The first row provides the 2006 Federal Election actual seat counts
2008 Estimated Wins (Oct 12, no strategic voting)	The second row provides the model's estimates using the best available data as of Oct 12. This is the latest data VFE had to make their final recommendations.
2008 Estimated Wins (Oct 12, with strategic voting)	The third row provides the model's estimates using the same Oct 12 data, but making the assumption that all strategic voting recommendations were successfully followed. This could be considered a "best case" scenario.
2008 Estimated Wins (E-Day vote share, no strategic voting)	The fourth row provides the model's estimates using the vote share represented by the actual election results, treating E-Day like a "perfect" poll. One way to use this row is to compare it to the second row (Oct 12, no strategic voting). The difference between these two rows either demonstrates how support was trending, or it indicates the relative turnout of the voters for the various parties. In either case, the difference between the fourth row and the fifth row (2008 Election Day actual seat counts) is one measure of how successful strategic voting was. The fifth row just lists the actual election results, for comparison purposes.

<b>Regional Breakdown Tables</b>	
Elected 2006	Which party won in the 2006 Federal Election
VFE Pick, Top Polling and 2nd Polling, Oct 10	These columns show the model's prediction for each riding's leading party and its top contender as of Oct 12. This is the data that was used by VFE to determine the VFE Pick (second last column).
Avoided Loss, Leading and 2nd Vote Share, E-Day	These two columns show the model's prediction for each riding's leading party based on the E-day vote share (as derived from the actual voting results). This is the data that was used by VFE to determine the Avoided Loss (last column).
2008 Election Results	These are the actual 2008 vote counts per party for each riding.
Elected and Second 2008	These show the actual winning party and runner-up (to compare to the model estimates above).
Margin of Win and Margin %	These show the absolute and percentage difference between the winner and runner-up vote count.
VFE Pick	This is the pick that was made based on the Oct 12 data. Certain rows are coloured based on their comparison to the actual results (Elected and Second 2008).
Avoided Loss	This lists the Oct 12 VFE picks that were in ridings where the Avoided Loss Vote Share column (see above) showed that the Conservative party was poised to win, but the Conservatives ultimately lost. Certain rows are coloured according to whether the VFE picks were instrumental in the Conservative losses.

# Atlantic

Regional Summary							VFE pick excellent		(Top Polling was CON but VFE Pick won)	
							VFE pick very good		(Top Polling was not CON, but VFE Pick won or came in 2nd to CON)	
							VFE pick wrong		(VFE Pick came 2nd to non-CON, OR VFE Pick came in 3rd or lower)	
							Avoided loss excellent		(Popular % Top Polling was CON but VFE Pick won)	
							Avoided loss very good		(Popular % Top Polling was CON but CON lost, with no VFE Pick selected)	
							Avoided loss wrong		(Popular % Top Polling was CON but CON lost, and VFE Pick did not win)	
		CON	NDP	LIB	GRN	OTH	TOTAL			
2006 Seat Counts (Election Day, actual)	9	3	20	0	0	32				
2008 Estimated Wins (Oct 12, no strategic voting)	5	5	21	0	1	32				
2008 Estimated Wins (Oct 12, with strategic voting)	3	5	22	1	1	32				
2008 Estimated Wins (E-Day vote share, no strategic voting)	7	4	20	0	1	32				
2008 Seat Counts (Election Day, actual)	10	4	17	0	1	32				

## Regional Breakdown

Riding	Name	Elected 2006	VFE Pick		Avoided Loss		2008 Election Results						Elected 2008	Second 2008	Margin of win	Margin %	VFE Pick (Oct 12)	Avoided Loss (E-Day)
			Top Polling Oct 12	2nd Polling Oct 12	Leading Vote Share E-Day	2nd Vote Share E-Day	CON	NDP	LIB	GRN	OTH	Total						
13001	NB - Acadie—Bathurst	NDP	NDP	LIB	NDP	LIB	8336	25740	9850	904	0	44830	NDP	LIB	15890	35.4%		NDP
13002	NB - Beauséjour	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	12512	7219	19972	3187	0	42890	LIB	CON	7460	17.4%		LIB
13003	NB - Fredericton	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	17968	6440	13316	4273	168	42165	CON	LIB	4652	11.0%	LIB	LIB
13004	NB - Fundy Royal	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	17220	7913	5776	2443	0	33352	CON	NDP	9307	27.9%		CON
13005	NB - Madawaska—Restigouche	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	11504	5338	16263	1367	0	34472	LIB	CON	4759	13.8%	LIB	LIB
13006	NB - Miramichi	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	12055	4928	10606	1107	0	28696	CON	LIB	1449	5.0%	LIB	LIB
13007	NB - Moncton—Riverview—Dieppe	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	16260	7589	17492	4037	0	45378	LIB	CON	1232	2.7%		LIB
13008	NB - New Brunswick Southwest / Nouveau-Brunswick-Sud-Ouest	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	17476	4959	5863	1667	0	29965	CON	LIB	11613	38.8%		CON
13009	NB - Saint John	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	13781	5569	13286	1888	330	34854	CON	LIB	495	1.4%	LIB	LIB
13010	NB - Tobique—Mactaquac	CON	LIB	CON	CON	LIB	18020	4830	6773	1810	0	31433	CON	LIB	11247	35.8%	LIB	CON
10001	NL - Avalon	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	11542	5708	14866	713	0	32829	LIB	CON	3324	10.1%	LIB	CON
10002	NL - Bonavista—Gander—Grand Falls—Windsor	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	4354	3577	20089	568	0	28588	LIB	CON	15735	55.0%	LIB	LIB
10003	NL - Humber—St. Barbe—Baie Verte	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	2806	4703	17943	0	967	26419	LIB	NDP	13240	50.1%		LIB
10004	NL - Labrador	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	615	1378	5426	302	0	7721	LIB	NDP	4048	52.4%	LIB	LIB
10005	NL - Random—Burin—St. George's	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	4791	5553	12557	462	0	23363	LIB	NDP	7004	30.0%	LIB	LIB
10006	NL - St. John's East / St. John's-Est	CON	NDP	LIB	NDP	CON	3872	31369	5273	586	933	42033	NDP	LIB	26096	62.1%	NDP	NDP
10007	NL - St. John's South—Mount Pearl / St. John's-Sud—Mount Pearl	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	4324	13883	14930	643	664	34444	LIB	NDP	1047	3.0%	LIB	CON
12001	NS - Cape Breton—Canso	LIB	LIB	NDP	LIB	NDP	8549	7659	17444	2642	0	36294	LIB	CON	8895	24.5%		LIB
12002	NS - Central Nova / Nova-Centre	CON	CON	GRN	CON	NDP	18239	7657	0	12620	623	39139	CON	GRN	5619	14.4%	GRN	CON
12007	NS - Cumberland—Colchester—Musquodoboit Valley	CON	OTH	NDP	OTH	NDP	3493	4874	3344	0	27854	39565	OTH	NDP	22980	58.1%	OTH	OTH
12003	NS - Dartmouth—Cole Harbour	LIB	LIB	NDP	LIB	NDP	9109	12793	16016	2417	219	40554	LIB	NDP	3223	7.9%		LIB
12004	NS - Halifax	NDP	NDP	LIB	NDP	LIB	9278	19242	12413	3891	182	45006	NDP	LIB	6829	15.2%		NDP
12005	NS - Halifax West / Halifax-Ouest	LIB	LIB	NDP	LIB	NDP	8730	12205	17127	2921	259	41242	LIB	NDP	4922	11.9%		LIB
12006	NS - Kings—Hants	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	9847	8290	16643	2353	528	37661	LIB	CON	6796	18.0%		LIB
12008	NS - Sackville—Eastern Shore	NDP	NDP	LIB	NDP	LIB	8156	24290	5045	2033	0	39524	NDP	CON	16134	40.8%		NDP
12009	NS - South Shore—St. Margaret's	CON	NDP	CON	CON	NDP	14395	13457	9468	2090	513	39923	CON	NDP	938	2.3%	LIB	CON
12010	NS - Sydney—Victoria	LIB	LIB	NDP	LIB	NDP	7224	8563	17353	1941	0	35081	LIB	NDP	8790	25.1%		LIB
12011	NS - West Nova / Nova-Ouest	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	16779	7097	15185	2114	844	42019	CON	LIB	1594	3.8%	LIB	LIB
11001	PE - Cardigan	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	5663	1557	10107	713	1101	19141	LIB	CON	4444	23.2%		LIB
11002	PE - Charlottetown	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	5704	2187	8893	858	124	17766	LIB	CON	3189	18.0%		LIB
11003	PE - Egmont	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	8122	1670	8060	626	0	18478	CON	LIB	62	0.3%	LIB	LIB
11004	PE - Malpeque	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	7388	1819	8312	1291	0	18810	LIB	CON	924	4.9%	LIB	LIB

# Quebec

Regional Summary								VFE pick excellent	(Top Polling was CON but VFE Pick won)
CON	NDP	LIB	GRN	BQ	OTH	TOTAL		VFE pick very good	(Top Polling was not CON, but VFE Pick won or came in 2nd to CON)
2006 Seat Counts (Election Day, actual)	11	1	12	0	50	1	75	VFE pick wrong	(VFE Pick came 2nd to non-CON, OR VFE Pick came in 3rd or lower)
2008 Estimated Wins (Oct 12, no strategic voting)	8	1	13	0	52	1	75		
2008 Estimated Wins (Oct 12, with strategic voting)	6	1	13	0	54	1	75	Avoided loss excellent	(Popular % Top Polling was CON but VFE Pick won)
2008 Estimated Wins (E-Day vote share, no strategic voting)	11	1	15	0	47	1	75	Avoided loss very good	(Popular % Top Polling was CON but CON lost, with no VFE Pick selected)
2008 Seat Counts (Election Day, actual)	10	1	13	0	50	1	75	Avoided loss wrong	(Popular % Top Polling was CON but CON lost, and VFE Pick did not win)

## Regional Breakdown

Riding	Name	Elected 2006	VFE Pick		Avoided Loss		2008 Election Results						Elected 2008	Second 2008	Margin of win	Margin %	VFE Pick (Oct 12)	Avoided Loss (E-Day)	
			Top Polling Oct 12	2nd Polling Oct 12	Leading Vote Share E-Day	2nd Vote Share E-Day	CON	NDP	LIB	GRN	BQ	OTH							Total
24046	Abitibi—Baie-James—Nunavik—Eeyou	BQ	BQ	LIB	BQ	LIB	8422	2275	5110	928	10994	0	27729	BQ	CON	2572	9.3%		BQ
24001	Abitibi—Témiscamingue	BQ	BQ	CON	BQ	CON	8272	4151	9055	969	20929	302	43678	BQ	LIB	11874	27.2%		BQ
24002	Ahuntsic	BQ	LIB	BQ	LIB	BQ	4935	4289	18395	1240	18537	0	47396	BQ	LIB	142	0.3%		LIB
24003	Alfred-Pellan	BQ	BQ	LIB	BQ	LIB	8662	6406	15499	1675	20686	259	53187	BQ	LIB	5187	9.8%		BQ
24004	Argenteuil—Papineau—Mirabel	BQ	BQ	CON	BQ	CON	9589	6807	9983	2145	26546	98	55168	BQ	LIB	16563	30.0%		BQ
24054	Bas-Richelieu—Nicolet—Bécancour	BQ	BQ	CON	BQ	CON	8920	4000	7998	1338	26814	0	49070	BQ	CON	17894	36.5%		BQ
24005	Beauce	CON	CON	BQ	CON	BQ	31883	4352	5270	2436	7143	0	51084	CON	BQ	24740	48.4%		CON
24006	Beauharnois—Salaberry	BQ	BQ	CON	BQ	CON	10858	6214	7986	1764	26904	0	53726	BQ	CON	16046	29.9%		BQ
24007	Beauport—Limoilou	CON	BQ	CON	CON	BQ	17967	5991	7066	1364	15981	618	48987	CON	BQ	1986	4.1%	BQ	CON
24008	Berthier—Maskinongé	BQ	BQ	CON	BQ	CON	12018	5728	10035	1701	24945	0	54427	BQ	CON	12927	23.8%		BQ
24009	Bourassa	LIB	LIB	BQ	LIB	BQ	5405	3188	19877	1166	10144	130	39910	LIB	BQ	9733	24.4%		LIB
24010	Brome—Missisquoi	BQ	BQ	LIB	BQ	LIB	9310	4514	16357	1784	17582	354	49901	BQ	LIB	1225	2.5%		BQ
24011	Brossard—La Prairie	BQ	BQ	LIB	LIB	BQ	11084	7530	19057	1860	19200	159	58890	BQ	LIB	143	0.2%		LIB
24012	Chambly—Borduas	BQ	BQ	CON	BQ	CON	9564	9007	10649	2456	31641	0	63317	BQ	LIB	20992	33.2%		BQ
24013	Charlesbourg—Haute-Saint-Charles	CON	BQ	CON	CON	BQ	20566	6542	7039	1250	14602	0	49999	CON	BQ	5964	11.9%	BQ	CON
24015	Châteauguay—Saint-Constant	BQ	BQ	LIB	BQ	LIB	9800	8233	10068	1720	24949	0	54770	BQ	LIB	14881	27.2%		BQ
24016	Chicoutimi—Le Fjord	BQ	BQ	LIB	BQ	LIB	16739	3739	6465	1208	19737	0	47888	BQ	CON	2998	6.3%		BQ
24017	Compton—Stanstead	BQ	BQ	LIB	BQ	LIB	9467	5498	10938	2385	20267	0	48555	BQ	LIB	9329	19.2%		BQ
24018	Drummond	BQ	BQ	LIB	BQ	CON	11490	7465	7709	1144	17618	0	45426	BQ	CON	6128	13.5%		BQ
24019	Gaspésie—Îles-de-la-Madeleine	BQ	BQ	CON	BQ	CON	8281	2546	9821	1133	15092	0	36873	BQ	LIB	5271	14.3%		BQ
24020	Gatineau	BQ	BQ	LIB	BQ	LIB	8700	13467	13111	1334	15050	0	51662	BQ	NDP	1583	3.1%		BQ
24041	Haute-Gaspésie—La Mitis—Matane—Matapédia	BQ	BQ	CON	BQ	CON	5743	1497	11368	1139	11977	175	31899	BQ	LIB	609	1.9%		BQ
24021	Hochelega	BQ	BQ	LIB	BQ	LIB	4201	6700	9442	1947	22720	774	45784	BQ	LIB	13278	29.0%		BQ
24022	Honoré-Mercier	LIB	LIB	BQ	LIB	BQ	7549	4986	21546	1375	13966	0	49422	LIB	BQ	7580	15.3%		LIB
24023	Hull—Aylmer	LIB	LIB	BQ	LIB	BQ	7976	10454	19747	2774	11635	121	52707	LIB	BQ	8112	15.4%		LIB
24024	Jeanne-Le Ber	BQ	BQ	LIB	BQ	LIB	5459	7636	15750	2356	16948	640	48789	BQ	LIB	1198	2.5%		BQ
24025	Joliette	BQ	BQ	CON	BQ	CON	9540	5579	7769	2587	28039	0	53514	BQ	CON	18499	34.6%		BQ
24026	Jonquière—Alma	CON	CON	BQ	CON	BQ	26639	2475	2616	0	19015	0	50745	CON	BQ	7624	15.0%	BQ	CON
24028	La Pointe-de-l'Île	BQ	BQ	LIB	BQ	LIB	5176	5965	7389	1340	25966	437	46273	BQ	LIB	18577	40.1%		BQ
24027	Lac-Saint-Louis	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	12085	8111	23845	4415	2964	0	51420	LIB	CON	11760	22.9%		LIB
24029	LaSalle—Émard	LIB	LIB	BQ	LIB	BQ	6782	5602	17131	1642	10386	818	42361	LIB	BQ	6745	15.9%		LIB
24030	Laurentides—Labelle	BQ	BQ	LIB	BQ	LIB	6899	4906	14150	2140	24956	0	53051	BQ	LIB	10806	20.4%		BQ
24031	Laurier—Sainte-Marie	BQ	BQ	NDP	BQ	NDP	2317	8170	8799	3746	24082	743	47857	BQ	LIB	15283	31.9%		BQ
24032	Laval	BQ	BQ	LIB	BQ	LIB	9101	6318	14190	1607	19085	221	50522	BQ	LIB	4895	9.7%		BQ
24033	Laval—Les Îles	LIB	LIB	BQ	LIB	BQ	11074	6111	21446	1754	12656	338	53379	LIB	BQ	8790	16.5%		LIB
24034	Lévis—Bellechasse	CON	CON	BQ	CON	BQ	24785	5856	8130	1370	13747	113	54001	CON	BQ	11038	20.4%	BQ	CON

Riding	Name	Elected 2006	Top Polling Oct 12	2nd Polling Oct 12	Leading Vote Share E-Day	2nd Vote Share E-Day	2008 Election Results							Elected 2008	Second 2008	Margin of win	Margin %	VFE Pick (Oct 12)	Avoided Loss (E-Day)
							CON	NDP	LIB	GRN	BQ	OTH	Total						
24035	Longueuil—Pierre-Boucher	BQ	BQ	LIB	BQ	CON	7210	7020	10920	1756	23116	103	50125	BQ	LIB	12196	24.3%		BQ
24036	Lotbinière—Chutes-de-la-Chaudière	CON	CON	BQ	CON	BQ	24446	6791	6461	1262	12674	0	51634	CON	BQ	11772	22.8%		CON
24037	Louis-Hébert	CON	BQ	CON	CON	BQ	16319	5396	13649	1405	20889	118	57776	BQ	CON	4570	7.9%	BQ	CON
24038	Louis-Saint-Laurent	CON	CON	BQ	CON	BQ	23644	5232	6662	1260	13330	0	50128	CON	BQ	10314	20.6%		CON
24039	Manicouagan	BQ	BQ	NDP	BQ	NDP	8357	1488	4678	1110	15214	0	30847	BQ	CON	6857	22.2%		BQ
24040	Marc-Aurèle-Fortin	BQ	BQ	LIB	BQ	LIB	7769	6907	13829	2171	25491	0	56167	BQ	LIB	11662	20.8%		BQ
24042	Mégantic—L'Érable	CON	CON	BQ	CON	BQ	20696	4191	6185	959	12283	0	44314	CON	BQ	8413	19.0%		CON
24043	Montcalm	BQ	BQ	CON	BQ	CON	8174	8375	8659	1865	33756	0	60829	BQ	LIB	25097	41.3%		BQ
24058	Montmagny—L'Islet—Kamouraska—Rivière-du-Loup	BQ	BQ	CON	BQ	CON	13640	2428	6835	978	20494	147	44522	BQ	CON	6854	15.4%		BQ
24014	Montmorency—Charlevoix—Haute-Côte-Nord	BQ	BQ	CON	BQ	CON	11789	3332	5769	1147	21068	0	43105	BQ	CON	9279	21.5%		BQ
24044	Mount Royal / Mont-Royal	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	9646	2733	19702	1555	1543	186	35365	LIB	CON	10056	28.4%		LIB
24045	Notre-Dame-de-Grâce—Lachine	LIB	LIB	BQ	LIB	BQ	7124	6620	19554	3371	6969	187	43825	LIB	CON	12430	28.4%		LIB
24047	Outremont	NDP	NDP	LIB	NDP	LIB	3820	14308	12005	1566	4554	0	36253	NDP	LIB	2303	6.4%		NDP
24048	Papineau	BQ	BQ	LIB	LIB	BQ	3264	3744	17747	1218	16517	271	42761	LIB	BQ	1230	2.9%		LIB
24049	Pierrefonds—Dollard	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	11435	4741	20883	3105	4301	110	44575	LIB	CON	9448	21.2%		LIB
24050	Pontiac	CON	CON	BQ	CON	LIB	14013	6655	10396	2218	9556	120	42958	CON	LIB	3617	8.4%	LIB	CON
24051	Portneuf—Jacques-Cartier	OTH	OTH	BQ	OTH	BQ	0	5707	7320	1453	14401	16107	44988	OTH	BQ	1706	3.8%		OTH
24052	Québec	BQ	BQ	CON	BQ	CON	12936	6123	8845	1650	21064	0	50618	BQ	CON	8128	16.1%	BQ	BQ
24053	Repentigny	BQ	BQ	CON	BQ	CON	8168	8853	8746	1666	31005	0	58438	BQ	NDP	22152	37.9%		BQ
24055	Richmond—Arthabaska	BQ	BQ	CON	BQ	CON	15085	4509	6604	1357	23913	526	51994	BQ	CON	8828	17.0%		BQ
24056	Rimouski-Neigette—Témiscouata—Les Basques	BQ	BQ	LIB	BQ	LIB	7216	4085	7937	645	17652	1966	39501	BQ	LIB	9715	24.6%		BQ
24057	Rivière-des-Mille-Îles	BQ	BQ	CON	BQ	CON	9911	6741	8823	2134	23216	0	50825	BQ	CON	13305	26.2%		BQ
24059	Rivière-du-Nord	BQ	BQ	CON	BQ	CON	7149	7187	6735	1656	26618	273	49618	BQ	NDP	19431	39.2%		BQ
24060	Roberval—Lac-Saint-Jean	CON	CON	BQ	CON	BQ	16054	1746	3721	737	14549	0	36807	CON	BQ	1505	4.1%	BQ	CON
24061	Rosemont—La Petite-Patrie	BQ	BQ	LIB	BQ	LIB	3883	8519	9760	2383	27265	693	52503	BQ	LIB	17505	33.3%		BQ
24062	Saint-Bruno—Saint-Hubert	BQ	BQ	LIB	BQ	LIB	8115	7154	11755	2032	23667	0	52723	BQ	LIB	11912	22.6%		BQ
24063	Saint-Hyacinthe—Bagot	BQ	BQ	CON	BQ	CON	10203	6721	6638	1771	22719	0	48052	BQ	CON	12516	26.0%	BQ	BQ
24064	Saint-Jean	BQ	BQ	CON	BQ	CON	9281	5529	9430	2160	26506	520	53426	BQ	LIB	17076	32.0%		BQ
24065	Saint-Lambert	BQ	BQ	LIB	BQ	LIB	6868	6280	12385	1576	16347	0	43456	BQ	LIB	3962	9.1%		BQ
24066	Saint-Laurent—Cartierville	LIB	LIB	BQ	LIB	NDP	6999	3659	25095	0	4611	296	40660	LIB	CON	18096	44.5%		LIB
24067	Saint-Léonard—Saint-Michel	LIB	LIB	BQ	LIB	BQ	5674	4030	21652	1063	5145	287	37851	LIB	CON	15978	42.2%		LIB
24068	Saint-Maurice—Champlain	BQ	BQ	CON	BQ	CON	11083	3682	9766	1557	20320	0	46408	BQ	CON	9237	19.9%		BQ
24069	Shefford	BQ	BQ	LIB	BQ	LIB	9927	6323	10810	1848	21980	0	50888	BQ	LIB	11170	22.0%		BQ
24070	Sherbrooke	BQ	BQ	CON	BQ	CON	8338	6674	10031	0	25458	486	50987	BQ	LIB	15427	30.3%		BQ
24071	Terrebonne—Blainville	BQ	BQ	CON	BQ	CON	7572	7257	8937	1714	28302	283	54065	BQ	LIB	19365	35.8%		BQ
24072	Trois-Rivières	BQ	BQ	CON	BQ	CON	11998	4544	9008	1540	22404	0	49494	BQ	CON	10406	21.0%		BQ
24073	Vaudreuil-Soulanges	BQ	BQ	LIB	BQ	LIB	15616	6307	13954	2627	27044	0	65548	BQ	CON	11428	17.4%		BQ
24074	Verchères—Les Patriotes	BQ	BQ	CON	BQ	CON	7733	8348	8873	1827	27343	0	54124	BQ	LIB	18470	34.1%		BQ
24075	Westmount—Ville-Marie	LIB	LIB	NDP	LIB	NDP	6147	8889	18069	2765	2778	189	38837	LIB	NDP	9180	23.6%		LIB

# Ontario

Regional Summary							VFE pick excellent	(Top Polling was CON but VFE Pick won)
	CON	NDP	LIB	GRN	OTH	TOTAL	VFE pick very good	(Top Polling was not CON, but VFE Pick won or came in 2nd to CON)
2006 Seat Counts (Election Day, actual)	40	12	54	0	0	106	VFE pick wrong	(VFE Pick came 2nd to non-CON, OR VFE Pick came in 3rd or lower)
2008 Estimated Wins (Oct 12, no strategic voting)	47	19	40	0	0	106		
2008 Estimated Wins (Oct 12, with strategic voting)	23	20	63	0	0	106	Avoided loss excellent	(Popular % Top Polling was CON but VFE Pick won)
2008 Estimated Wins (E-Day vote share, no strategic voting)	55	16	35	0	0	106	Avoided loss very good	(Popular % Top Polling was CON but CON lost, with no VFE Pick selected)
2008 Seat Counts (Election Day, actual)	51	17	38	0	0	106	Avoided loss wrong	(Popular % Top Polling was CON but CON lost, and VFE Pick did not win)

## Regional Breakdown

Riding	Name	Elected 2006	VFE Pick		Avoided Loss		2008 Election Results						Elected 2008	Second 2008	Margin of win	Margin %	VFE Pick (Oct 12)	Avoided Loss (E-Day)
			Top Polling Oct 12	2nd Polling Oct 12	Leading Vote Share E-Day	2nd Vote Share E-Day	CON	NDP	LIB	GRN	OTH	Total						
35001	Ajax—Pickering	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	18471	4472	21607	3605	603	48758	LIB	CON	3136	6.4%		LIB
35002	Algoma—Manitoulin—Kapusking	LIB	NDP	LIB	NDP	LIB	5899	15246	10902	1465	0	33512	NDP	LIB	4344	13.0%		NDP
35003	Ancaster—Dundas—Flamborough—Westdale	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	26297	9632	15422	5209	158	56718	CON	LIB	10875	19.2%	LIB	CON
35004	Barrie	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	27927	6403	12732	5921	344	53327	CON	LIB	15195	28.5%	LIB	CON
35005	Beaches—East York	LIB	NDP	LIB	LIB	NDP	7920	14893	18928	4402	153	46296	LIB	NDP	4035	8.7%		LIB
35006	Bramalea—Gore—Malton	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	18350	5935	22214	2545	309	49353	LIB	CON	3864	7.8%		LIB
35008	Brampton West / Brampton-Ouest	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	21516	7339	21639	3330	0	53824	LIB	CON	123	0.2%	LIB	LIB
35007	Brampton—Springdale	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	17804	5320	18522	3524	135	45305	LIB	CON	718	1.6%		LIB
35009	Brant	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	22628	9297	17839	3805	369	53938	CON	LIB	4789	8.9%	LIB	CON
35026	Bruce—Grey—Owen Sound	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	22974	4640	6892	13095	629	48230	CON	GRN	9879	20.5%		CON
35010	Burlington	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	28469	6600	19524	4170	0	58763	CON	LIB	8945	15.2%	LIB	CON
35011	Cambridge	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	24883	10018	11970	4261	0	51132	CON	LIB	12913	25.3%	LIB	CON
35012	Carleton—Mississippi Mills	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	39338	6583	15254	6983	0	68158	CON	LIB	24084	35.3%		CON
35013	Chatham-Kent—Essex	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	19960	6850	12127	2712	0	41649	CON	LIB	7833	18.8%		CON
35015	Davenport	LIB	LIB	NDP	LIB	NDP	3838	10895	15945	3653	520	34851	LIB	NDP	5050	14.5%		LIB
35016	Don Valley East / Don Valley-Est	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	12301	5118	18105	2765	288	38577	LIB	CON	5804	15.0%		LIB
35017	Don Valley West / Don Valley-Ouest	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	19441	5102	22112	3155	162	49972	LIB	CON	2671	5.3%	LIB	LIB
35018	Dufferin—Caledon	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	23253	4362	8464	7445	300	43824	CON	LIB	14789	33.7%		CON
35014	Durham	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	28440	5505	12235	6023	632	52835	CON	LIB	16205	30.7%		CON
35019	Eglinton—Lawrence	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	16848	3680	19108	3826	0	43462	LIB	CON	2260	5.2%		LIB
35020	Elgin—Middlesex—London	CON	CON	NDP	CON	LIB	22970	9135	11169	3241	983	47498	CON	LIB	11801	24.8%		CON
35021	Essex	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	20607	13706	14973	2234	0	51520	CON	LIB	5634	10.9%	LIB	CON
35022	Etobicoke Centre / Etobicoke-Centre	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	18838	4158	24537	2696	0	50229	LIB	CON	5699	11.3%		LIB
35024	Etobicoke North / Etobicoke-Nord	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	9465	4935	15192	1459	299	31350	LIB	CON	5727	18.3%		LIB
35023	Etobicoke—Lakeshore	LIB	LIB	CON	CON	LIB	17575	5873	23247	3501	180	50376	LIB	CON	5672	11.3%	LIB	CON
35025	Glengarry—Prescott—Russell	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	25659	5674	19997	2908	0	54238	CON	LIB	5662	10.4%	LIB	CON
35027	Guelph	LIB	LIB	CON	CON	LIB	17185	9709	18977	12456	568	58895	LIB	CON	1792	3.0%	LIB	CON
35028	Haldimand—Norfolk	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	19657	5549	15577	2041	5322	48146	CON	LIB	4080	8.5%		CON
35029	Haliburton—Kawartha Lakes—Brock	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	30444	7962	11095	4502	390	54393	CON	LIB	19349	35.6%		CON
35030	Halton	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	32916	6118	25136	4872	337	69379	CON	LIB	7780	11.2%	LIB	CON
35031	Hamilton Centre / Hamilton-Centre	NDP	NDP	CON	NDP	CON	9055	19945	7074	3625	780	40479	NDP	CON	10890	26.9%		NDP
35032	Hamilton East—Stoney Creek / Hamilton-Est—Stoney Creek	NDP	NDP	LIB	NDP	CON	11456	19924	13445	2142	1176	48143	NDP	LIB	6479	13.5%		NDP
35033	Hamilton Mountain	NDP	NDP	CON	NDP	CON	16011	22799	10531	2884	0	52225	NDP	CON	6788	13.0%		NDP

Riding	Name	Elected 2006	Top Polling Oct 12	2nd Polling Oct 12	Leading Vote Share E-Day	2nd Vote Share E-Day	2008 Election Results						Elected 2008	Second 2008	Margin of win	Margin %	VFE Pick (Oct 12)	Avoided Loss (E-Day)
							CON	NDP	LIB	GRN	OTH	Total						
35034	Huron—Bruce	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	22202	7426	16346	2617	989	49580	CON	LIB	5856	11.8%	LIB	CON
35035	Kenora	LIB	NDP	CON	CON	LIB	9335	5375	7368	1078	0	23156	CON	LIB	1967	8.5%		CON
35036	Kingston and the Islands / Kingston et les Îles	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	18887	10151	22732	6382	0	58152	LIB	CON	3845	6.6%		LIB
35037	Kitchener Centre / Kitchener-Centre	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	16480	8122	16141	3818	342	44903	CON	LIB	339	0.8%	LIB	LIB
35038	Kitchener—Conestoga	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	23275	7132	11761	5119	0	47287	CON	LIB	11514	24.3%	LIB	CON
35039	Kitchener—Waterloo	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	21871	8920	21798	7329	660	60578	CON	LIB	73	0.1%		LIB
35046	Lambton—Kent—Middlesex	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	24516	7427	11812	3366	683	47804	CON	LIB	12704	26.6%		CON
35040	Lanark—Frontenac—Lennox and Addington	CON	CON	NDP	CON	LIB	30280	7140	11827	4643	363	54253	CON	LIB	18453	34.0%		CON
35041	Leeds—Grenville	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	27461	6511	8075	4622	431	47100	CON	LIB	19386	41.2%		CON
35043	London North Centre / London-Centre-Nord	LIB	LIB	GRN	LIB	CON	17716	9376	20964	5612	0	53668	LIB	CON	3248	6.1%	LIB	LIB
35044	London West / London-Ouest	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	22556	8409	20435	5601	696	57697	CON	LIB	2121	3.7%	LIB	CON
35042	London—Fanshawe	NDP	NDP	CON	NDP	CON	12756	17594	7751	2661	282	41044	NDP	CON	4838	11.8%	NDP	NDP
35045	Markham—Unionville	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	13855	4682	25195	1931	229	45892	LIB	CON	11340	24.7%		LIB
35048	Mississauga East—Cooksville / Mississauga-Est—Cooksville	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	13215	4614	20371	2126	285	40611	LIB	CON	7156	17.6%		LIB
35050	Mississauga South / Mississauga-Sud	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	18467	4102	20475	3427	0	46471	LIB	CON	2008	4.3%	LIB	CON
35047	Mississauga—Brampton South / Mississauga—Brampton-Sud	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	14757	5358	21220	2947	395	44677	LIB	CON	6463	14.5%		LIB
35049	Mississauga—Erindale	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	23795	4767	23556	3636	128	55882	CON	LIB	239	0.4%	LIB	CON
35051	Mississauga—Streetsville	LIB	LIB	CON	CON	LIB	16946	4711	21579	3177	941	47354	LIB	CON	4633	9.8%	LIB	CON
35052	Nepean—Carleton	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	39915	6946	16743	7880	0	71484	CON	LIB	23172	32.4%		CON
35053	Newmarket—Aurora	LIB	LIB	CON	CON	LIB	24873	4508	18250	4381	1215	53227	CON	LIB	6623	12.4%	LIB	CON
35054	Niagara Falls	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	24010	9186	13870	4353	0	51419	CON	LIB	10140	19.7%	LIB	CON
35055	Niagara West—Glanbrook / Niagara-Ouest—Glanbrook	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	28090	7983	12955	3897	1118	54043	CON	LIB	15135	28.0%	LIB	CON
35056	Nickel Belt	LIB	NDP	LIB	NDP	LIB	8851	19019	10713	2050	240	40873	NDP	LIB	8306	20.3%		NDP
35057	Nipissing—Timiskaming	LIB	LIB	CON	CON	LIB	13463	6598	18543	2807	201	41612	LIB	CON	5080	12.2%	LIB	CON
35058	Northumberland—Quinte West	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	27621	8219	16262	4953	0	57055	CON	LIB	11359	19.9%	LIB	CON
35059	Oak Ridges—Markham	LIB	LIB	CON	CON	LIB	31963	7120	31533	5295	0	75911	CON	LIB	430	0.6%	LIB	CON
35060	Oakville	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	26011	4143	20428	4681	0	55263	CON	LIB	5583	10.1%	LIB	CON
35061	Oshawa	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	19949	16770	7685	3374	471	48249	CON	NDP	3179	6.6%		CON
35062	Ottawa Centre / Ottawa-Centre	NDP	NDP	LIB	NDP	CON	15063	25347	16634	6348	471	63863	NDP	LIB	8713	13.6%		NDP
35064	Ottawa South / Ottawa-Sud	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	19418	4920	28934	3924	864	58060	LIB	CON	9516	16.4%	LIB	CON
35066	Ottawa West—Nepean / Ottawa-Ouest—Nepean	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	25109	6432	20161	3552	565	55819	CON	LIB	4948	8.9%	LIB	CON
35063	Ottawa—Orléans	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	27206	6127	23504	3833	0	60670	CON	LIB	3702	6.1%	LIB	CON
35065	Ottawa—Vanier	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	14128	8845	23958	4443	455	51829	LIB	CON	9830	19.0%		LIB
35067	Oxford	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	23330	7982	8584	3455	1036	44387	CON	LIB	14746	33.2%		CON
35068	Parkdale—High Park	NDP	NDP	LIB	NDP	LIB	5956	17330	20715	3614	549	48164	LIB	NDP	3385	7.0%		NDP
35069	Parry Sound—Muskoka	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	21830	5355	10866	5118	325	43494	CON	LIB	10964	25.2%	LIB	CON
35070	Perth—Wellington	CON	CON	NDP	CON	LIB	20709	7324	10225	3884	982	43124	CON	LIB	10484	24.3%		CON
35071	Peterborough	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	27629	8110	18413	4029	98	58279	CON	LIB	9216	15.8%	LIB	CON
35072	Pickering—Scarborough East / Pickering—Scarborough-Est	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	15539	4875	22874	3025	321	46634	LIB	CON	7335	15.7%		LIB
35073	Prince Edward—Hastings	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	26061	7215	14047	4380	279	51982	CON	LIB	12014	23.1%		CON
35074	Renfrew—Nipissing—Pembroke	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	28906	5174	9740	3201	293	47314	CON	LIB	19166	40.5%		CON
35075	Richmond Hill	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	16259	4526	21444	3384	0	45613	LIB	CON	5185	11.4%		LIB
35078	Sarnia—Lambton	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	23008	10122	9281	3184	546	46141	CON	NDP	12886	27.9%	LIB	CON
35079	Sault Ste. Marie	NDP	NDP	LIB	NDP	CON	15431	16572	6870	1774	316	40963	NDP	CON	1141	2.8%		NDP
35081	Scarborough Centre / Scarborough-Centre	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	11078	5795	17902	2010	0	36785	LIB	CON	6824	18.6%		LIB
35084	Scarborough Southwest / Scarborough-Sud-Ouest	LIB	LIB	NDP	LIB	CON	10900	7024	15480	3507	150	37061	LIB	CON	4580	12.4%		LIB
35080	Scarborough—Agincourt	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	11831	3734	22729	1859	0	40153	LIB	CON	10898	27.1%		LIB

Riding	Name	Elected 2006	Top Polling Oct 12	2nd Polling Oct 12	Leading Vote Share E-Day	2nd Vote Share E-Day	2008 Election Results						Elected 2008	Second 2008	Margin of win	Margin %	VFE Pick (Oct 12)	Avoided Loss (E-Day)
							CON	NDP	LIB	GRN	OTH	Total						
35082	Scarborough—Guildwood	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	10768	5168	18035	1905	0	35876	LIB	CON	7267	20.3%		LIB
35083	Scarborough—Rouge River	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	9160	5954	23716	1567	331	40728	LIB	CON	14556	35.7%		LIB
35086	Simcoe North / Simcoe-Nord	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	26328	6207	14670	5820	0	53025	CON	LIB	11658	22.0%	LIB	CON
35085	Simcoe—Grey	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	30897	6288	12099	5684	1161	56129	CON	LIB	18798	33.5%		CON
35076	St. Catharines	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	23438	9444	14649	3469	146	51146	CON	LIB	8789	17.2%	LIB	CON
35077	St. Paul's	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	13800	6880	26236	4713	313	51942	LIB	CON	12436	23.9%		LIB
35087	Stormont—Dundas—South Glengarry	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	25846	6107	8564	1885	2689	45091	CON	LIB	17282	38.3%		CON
35088	Sudbury	LIB	LIB	NDP	LIB	NDP	11073	15050	12984	3321	495	42923	NDP	LIB	2066	4.8%		LIB
35089	Thornhill	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	26660	3601	21448	2686	0	54395	CON	LIB	5212	9.6%	LIB	LIB
35090	Thunder Bay—Rainy River	LIB	NDP	LIB	NDP	CON	8466	14473	11589	1377	0	35905	NDP	LIB	2884	8.0%		NDP
35091	Thunder Bay—Superior North / Thunder Bay—Superior-Nord	LIB	NDP	LIB	NDP	LIB	9556	13174	10083	2463	327	35603	NDP	LIB	3091	8.7%		NDP
35092	Timmins—James Bay / Timmins—Baie James	NDP	NDP	LIB	NDP	LIB	5536	17188	6740	938	0	30402	NDP	LIB	10448	34.4%		NDP
35093	Toronto Centre / Toronto-Centre	LIB	LIB	GRN	LIB	GRN	9405	7744	27577	6081	627	51434	LIB	CON	18172	35.3%		LIB
35094	Toronto—Danforth	NDP	NDP	LIB	NDP	LIB	5274	20416	13291	5934	459	45374	NDP	LIB	7125	15.7%		NDP
35095	Trinity—Spadina	NDP	NDP	LIB	NDP	LIB	8220	24442	20967	5383	784	59796	NDP	LIB	3475	5.8%		NDP
35096	Vaughan	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	19390	5431	27773	3875	0	56469	LIB	CON	8383	14.8%		LIB
35097	Welland	LIB	NDP	CON	CON	NDP	16350	16841	14299	2816	713	51019	NDP	CON	491	1.0%		CON
35098	Wellington—Halton Hills	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	29191	4747	11312	4997	414	50661	CON	LIB	17879	35.3%		CON
35099	Whitby—Oshawa	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	30604	8539	15470	5087	395	60095	CON	LIB	15134	25.2%	LIB	CON
35100	Willowdale	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	15940	5011	23879	3120	1144	49094	LIB	CON	7939	16.2%		LIB
35102	Windsor West / Windsor-Ouest	NDP	NDP	CON	NDP	CON	8953	20834	7369	2253	241	39650	NDP	CON	11881	30.0%		NDP
35101	Windsor—Tecumseh	NDP	NDP	CON	NDP	CON	10296	21004	8951	2752	0	43003	NDP	CON	10708	24.9%		NDP
35103	York Centre / York-Centre	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	14095	4481	16185	2428	0	37189	LIB	CON	2090	5.6%		LIB
35105	York South—Weston / York-Sud—Weston	LIB	LIB	NDP	LIB	CON	7015	9634	16004	1759	0	34412	LIB	NDP	6370	18.5%		LIB
35106	York West / York-Ouest	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	4787	5365	17026	1502	0	28680	LIB	NDP	11661	40.7%		LIB
35104	York—Simcoe	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	27412	5882	9044	4887	1120	48345	CON	LIB	18368	38.0%		CON

# Manitoba

Regional Summary																		
	CON	NDP	LIB	GRN	OTH	TOTAL												
2006 Seat Counts (Election Day, actual)	8	3	3	0	0	14												
2008 Estimated Wins (Oct 12, no strategic voting)	8	3	3	0	0	14												
2008 Estimated Wins (Oct 12, with strategic voting)	6	3	5	0	0	14												
2008 Estimated Wins (E-Day vote share, no strategic voting)	10	3	1	0	0	14												
2008 Seat Counts (Election Day, actual)	9	4	1	0	0	14												

## Regional Breakdown

Riding	Name	Elected 2006	VFE Pick		Avoided Loss		2008 Election Results						Elected 2008	Second 2008	Margin of win	Margin %	VFE Pick (Oct 12)	Avoided Loss (E-Day)
			Top Polling Oct 12	2nd Polling Oct 12	Leading Vote Share E-Day	2nd Vote Share E-Day	CON	NDP	LIB	GRN	OTH	Total						
46001	Brandon—Souris	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	19557	6024	2836	5408	416	34241	CON	NDP	13533	39.5%		CON
46002	Charleswood—St. James—Assiniboia	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	21588	7210	8514	2614	180	40106	CON	LIB	13074	32.6%	LIB	CON
46003	Churchill	LIB	LIB	NDP	LIB	NDP	3774	8735	5313	606	0	18428	NDP	LIB	3422	18.6%		LIB
46004	Dauphin—Swan River—Marquette	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	18121	4874	4084	1916	451	29446	CON	NDP	13247	45.0%		CON
46005	Elmwood—Transcona	NDP	NDP	CON	NDP	CON	12653	14355	2079	1839	312	31238	NDP	CON	1702	5.4%	NDP	NDP
46006	Kildonan—St. Paul	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	19750	12093	3009	1679	447	36978	CON	NDP	7657	20.7%	NDP	CON
46007	Portage—Lisgar	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	22032	2358	4474	2606	983	32453	CON	LIB	17558	54.1%		CON
46008	Provencher	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	23303	5047	4530	2149	1170	36199	CON	NDP	18256	50.4%		CON
46009	Saint Boniface / Saint-Boniface	LIB	LIB	CON	CON	LIB	19440	5502	14728	2104	195	41969	CON	LIB	4712	11.2%	LIB	CON
46010	Selkirk—Interlake	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	23312	9506	3203	2126	295	38442	CON	NDP	13806	35.9%	NDP	CON
46011	Winnipeg Centre / Winnipeg-Centre	NDP	NDP	LIB	NDP	CON	5437	12285	3922	2798	692	25134	NDP	CON	6848	27.2%		NDP
46012	Winnipeg North / Winnipeg-Nord	NDP	NDP	LIB	NDP	CON	5033	14097	2075	1077	241	22523	NDP	CON	9064	40.2%		NDP
46013	Winnipeg South / Winnipeg-Sud	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	19943	4671	14108	1936	173	40831	CON	LIB	5835	14.3%	LIB	CON
46014	Winnipeg South Centre / Winnipeg-Centre-Sud	LIB	LIB	CON	CON	LIB	14108	5490	16438	2865	0	38901	LIB	CON	2330	6.0%	LIB	CON

# Saskatchewan

Regional Summary																		
	CON	NDP	LIB	GRN	OTH	TOTAL												
2006 Seat Counts (Election Day, actual)	13	0	1	0	0	14												
2008 Estimated Wins (Oct 12, no strategic voting)	13	0	1	0	0	14												
2008 Estimated Wins (Oct 12, with strategic voting)	9	4	1	0	0	14												
2008 Estimated Wins (E-Day vote share, no strategic voting)	13	0	1	0	0	14												
2008 Seat Counts (Election Day, actual)	13	0	1	0	0	14												

VFE pick excellent	(Top Polling was CON but VFE Pick won)
VFE pick very good	(Top Polling was not CON, but VFE Pick won or came in 2nd to CON)
VFE pick wrong	(VFE Pick came 2nd to non-CON, OR VFE Pick came in 3rd or lower)
Avoided loss excellent	(Popular % Top Polling was CON but VFE Pick won)
Avoided loss very good	(Popular % Top Polling was CON but CON lost, with no VFE Pick selected)
Avoided loss wrong	(Popular % Top Polling was CON but CON lost, and VFE Pick did not win)

## Regional Breakdown

Riding	Name	Elected 2006	VFE Pick		Avoided Loss		2008 Election Results						Elected 2008	Second 2008	Margin of win	Margin %	VFE Pick (Oct 12)	Avoided Loss (E-Day)
			Top Polling Oct 12	2nd Polling Oct 12	Leading Vote Share E-Day	2nd Vote Share E-Day	CON	NDP	LIB	GRN	OTH	Total						
47001	Battlefords—Lloydminster	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	15621	6573	2140	1287	368	25989	CON	NDP	9048	34.8%		CON
47002	Blackstrap	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	20746	9876	5509	2325	0	38456	CON	NDP	10870	28.3%		CON
47004	Cypress Hills—Grasslands	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	17921	4315	3691	1919	0	27846	CON	NDP	13606	48.9%		CON
47003	Desnethé—Missinippi—Churchill River / Desnethé—Missinippi—Rivière Churchill	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	8964	3412	5816	735	282	19209	CON	LIB	3148	16.4%	LIB	CON
47005	Palliser	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	14160	10870	5489	1668	0	32187	CON	NDP	3290	10.2%	NDP	CON
47006	Prince Albert	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	16541	8950	2289	1413	169	29362	CON	NDP	7591	25.9%		CON
47007	Regina—Lumsden—Lake Centre	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	16053	8963	4668	1737	0	31421	CON	NDP	7090	22.6%	NDP	CON
47008	Regina—Qu'Appelle	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	14034	8701	2836	1561	0	27132	CON	NDP	5333	19.7%	NDP	CON
47009	Saskatoon—Humboldt	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	18610	9632	4135	2211	0	34588	CON	NDP	8978	26.0%		CON
47010	Saskatoon—Rosetown—Biggar	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	12166	11913	1176	1228	319	26802	CON	NDP	253	0.9%	NDP	CON
47011	Saskatoon—Wanuskewin	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	18275	7902	4020	2182	0	32379	CON	NDP	10373	32.0%		CON
47012	Souris—Moose Mountain	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	19293	4599	1834	1643	0	27369	CON	NDP	14694	53.7%		CON
47013	Wascana	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	12719	5507	17028	1706	0	36960	LIB	CON	4309	11.7%		LIB
47014	Yorkton—Melville	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	19824	6076	1578	1664	0	29142	CON	NDP	13748	47.2%		CON

# Alberta

Regional Summary																	
	CON	NDP	LIB	GRN	OTH	TOTAL											
2006 Seat Counts (Election Day, actual)	28	0	0	0	0	28											
2008 Estimated Wins (Oct 12, no strategic voting)	28	0	0	0	0	28											
2008 Estimated Wins (Oct 12, with strategic voting)	26	1	1	0	0	28											
2008 Estimated Wins (E-Day vote share, no strategic voting)	28	0	0	0	0	28											
2008 Seat Counts (Election Day, actual)	27	1	0	0	0	28											

## Regional Breakdown

Riding	Name	Elected 2006	VFE Pick		Avoided Loss		2008 Election Results						Elected 2008	Second 2008	Margin of win	Margin %	VFE Pick (Oct 12)	Avoided Loss (E-Day)
			Top Polling Oct 12	2nd Polling Oct 12	Leading Vote Share E-Day	2nd Vote Share E-Day	CON	NDP	LIB	GRN	OTH	Total						
48006	Calgary Centre / Calgary-Centre	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	25302	4204	8235	7661	413	45815	CON	LIB	17067	37.3%		CON
48003	Calgary Centre-North / Calgary-Centre-Nord	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	27371	7417	5699	7403	529	48419	CON	NDP	19954	41.2%		CON
48002	Calgary East / Calgary-Est	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	21311	3768	3255	3403	323	32060	CON	NDP	17543	54.7%		CON
48004	Calgary Northeast / Calgary-Nord-Est	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	18917	3279	7435	2035	5048	36714	CON	LIB	11482	31.3%		CON
48007	Calgary Southeast / Calgary-Sud-Est	CON	CON	GRN	CON	NDP	41322	4024	4880	5744	0	55970	CON	GRN	35578	63.6%		CON
48008	Calgary Southwest / Calgary-Sud-Ouest	CON	CON	GRN	CON	GRN	38545	4122	4918	4732	533	52850	CON	LIB	33627	63.6%		CON
48009	Calgary West / Calgary-Ouest	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	34490	3832	13198	6722	1945	60187	CON	LIB	21292	35.4%		CON
48005	Calgary—Nose Hill	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	35029	3953	6653	4669	0	50304	CON	LIB	28376	56.4%		CON
48010	Crowfoot	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	39396	3796	1962	2869	0	48023	CON	NDP	35600	74.1%		CON
48012	Edmonton Centre / Edmonton-Centre	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	22287	6816	12403	3658	210	45374	CON	LIB	9884	21.8%		CON
48013	Edmonton East / Edmonton-Est	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	21487	13632	4578	2491	0	42188	CON	NDP	7855	18.6%		CON
48014	Edmonton—Leduc	CON	CON	LIB	CON	NDP	33024	6144	9386	4215	0	52769	CON	LIB	23638	44.8%		CON
48011	Edmonton—Mill Woods—Beaumont	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	25130	6347	7714	2366	186	41743	CON	LIB	17416	41.7%		CON
48016	Edmonton—Sherwood Park	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	17601	6339	5575	3681	15860	49056	CON	OTH	1741	3.5%		CON
48017	Edmonton—Spruce Grove	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	36402	6627	6109	3975	0	53113	CON	NDP	29775	56.1%		CON
48015	Edmonton—St. Albert	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	31436	8050	7451	4072	0	51009	CON	NDP	23386	45.8%		CON
48018	Edmonton—Strathcona	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	19634	20076	4288	3045	147	47190	NDP	CON	442	0.9%	NDP	CON
48001	Fort McMurray—Athabasca	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	17160	3267	2689	1619	812	25547	CON	NDP	13893	54.4%		CON
48019	Lethbridge	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	32212	6733	4405	3420	1091	47861	CON	NDP	25479	53.2%		CON
48020	Macleod	CON	CON	GRN	CON	GRN	35333	3055	2701	4160	422	45671	CON	GRN	31173	68.3%		CON
48021	Medicine Hat	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	26949	4187	2640	2338	1913	38027	CON	NDP	22762	59.9%		CON
48022	Peace River	CON	CON	OTH	CON	OTH	29388	6108	2833	3295	688	42312	CON	NDP	23280	55.0%		CON
48023	Red Deer	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	33226	5040	2863	4332	0	45461	CON	NDP	28186	62.0%		CON
48024	Vegreville—Wainwright	CON	CON	GRN	CON	NDP	34493	4230	2345	3876	0	44944	CON	NDP	30263	67.3%		CON
48025	Westlock—St. Paul	CON	CON	LIB	CON	NDP	27338	3808	3416	2522	510	37594	CON	NDP	23530	62.6%		CON
48026	Wetaskiwin	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	32528	3636	2362	3375	269	42170	CON	NDP	28892	68.5%		CON
48027	Wild Rose	CON	CON	GRN	CON	GRN	36681	4352	2882	6390	248	50553	CON	GRN	30291	59.9%		CON
48028	Yellowhead	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	26863	4567	1489	3437	1014	37370	CON	NDP	22296	59.7%		CON

# British Columbia

Regional Summary							VFE Pick	Notes
	CON	NDP	LIB	GRN	OTH	TOTAL		
2006 Seat Counts (Election Day, actual)	17	10	9	0	0	36	VFE pick excellent	(Top Polling was CON but VFE Pick won)
2008 Estimated Wins (Oct 12, no strategic voting)	22	9	5	0	0	36	VFE pick very good	(Top Polling was not CON, but VFE Pick won or came in 2nd to CON)
2008 Estimated Wins (Oct 12, with strategic voting)	12	13	11	0	0	36	VFE pick wrong	(VFE Pick came 2nd to non-CON, OR VFE Pick came in 3rd or lower)
2008 Estimated Wins (E-Day vote share, no strategic voting)	27	6	3	0	0	36	Avoided loss excellent	(Popular % Top Polling was CON but VFE Pick won)
2008 Seat Counts (Election Day, actual)	22	9	5	0	0	36	Avoided loss very good	(Popular % Top Polling was CON but CON lost, with no VFE Pick selected)
							Avoided loss wrong	(Popular % Top Polling was CON but CON lost, and VFE Pick did not win)

## Regional Breakdown

Riding	Name	Elected 2006	VFE Pick		Avoided Loss		2008 Election Results						Elected 2008	Second 2008	Margin of win	Margin %	VFE Pick (Oct 12)	Avoided Loss (E-Day)
			Top Polling Oct 12	2nd Polling Oct 12	Leading Vote Share E-Day	2nd Vote Share E-Day	CON	NDP	LIB	GRN	OTH	Total						
59001	Abbotsford	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	30853	6443	7933	3138	358	48725	CON	LIB	22920	47.0%		CON
59026	British Columbia Southern Interior / Colombie-Britannique-Southern Interior	NDP	NDP	CON	NDP	CON	16921	22684	3265	4552	79	47501	NDP	CON	5763	12.1%	NDP	NDP
59002	Burnaby—Douglas	NDP	NDP	LIB	CON	NDP	17139	17937	9177	2822	205	47280	NDP	CON	798	1.7%	NDP	CON
59003	Burnaby—New Westminster	NDP	NDP	CON	CON	NDP	13151	20145	6681	3056	282	43315	NDP	CON	6994	16.1%	NDP	CON
59004	Cariboo—Prince George	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	22619	10579	4309	2614	729	40850	CON	NDP	12040	29.5%		CON
59005	Chilliwack—Fraser Canyon	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	29195	8791	3980	4107	767	46840	CON	NDP	20404	43.6%		CON
59006	Delta—Richmond East / Delta—Richmond-Est	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	26292	6773	10346	3662	0	47073	CON	LIB	15946	33.9%		CON
59008	Esquimalt—Juan de Fuca	LIB	LIB	NDP	CON	NDP	19974	13322	20042	4957	439	58734	LIB	CON	68	0.1%	LIB	CON
59009	Fleetwood—Port Kells	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	21389	10917	12492	3126	0	47924	CON	LIB	8897	18.6%	LIB	CON
59010	Kamloops—Thompson—Cariboo	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	25109	19620	5445	4430	0	54604	CON	NDP	5489	10.1%	NDP	CON
59011	Kelowna—Lake Country	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	31902	8623	8427	7819	218	56989	CON	NDP	23279	40.8%		CON
59012	Kootenay—Columbia	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	23398	8892	3044	3933	0	39267	CON	NDP	14506	36.9%		CON
59013	Langley	CON	CON	LIB	CON	NDP	32594	8898	5888	5059	614	53053	CON	NDP	23696	44.7%		CON
59014	Nanaimo—Alberni	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	28959	19687	5586	7467	340	62039	CON	NDP	9272	14.9%	NDP	CON
59015	Nanaimo—Cowichan	NDP	NDP	CON	NDP	CON	22584	27144	4429	5744	180	60081	NDP	CON	4560	7.6%	NDP	NDP
59017	New Westminster—Coquitlam	NDP	NDP	CON	CON	NDP	19298	20788	5582	3574	448	49690	NDP	CON	1490	3.0%	NDP	CON
59016	Newton—North Delta / Newton—Delta-Nord	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	NDP	13988	11824	16481	2533	426	45252	LIB	CON	2493	5.5%	LIB	CON
59019	North Vancouver	LIB	LIB	CON	CON	LIB	24329	5429	21510	6221	166	57655	CON	LIB	2819	4.9%	LIB	CON
59020	Okanagan—Coquihalla	CON	CON	LIB	CON	NDP	29219	8265	6070	6687	0	50241	CON	NDP	20954	41.7%		CON
59018	Okanagan—Shuswap	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	28048	10716	5388	9399	693	54244	CON	NDP	17332	32.0%		CON
59007	Pitt Meadows—Maple Ridge—Mission	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	26552	16915	3400	3936	562	51365	CON	NDP	9637	18.8%	NDP	CON
59021	Port Moody—Westwood—Port Coquitlam	CON	CON	LIB	CON	NDP	25525	10415	6918	3568	321	46747	CON	NDP	15110	32.3%		CON
59022	Prince George—Peace River	CON	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	22060	6138	2953	3656	0	34807	CON	NDP	15922	45.7%		CON
59023	Richmond	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	21329	5059	13221	2754	490	42853	CON	LIB	8108	18.9%	LIB	CON
59024	Saanich—Gulf Islands	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	27988	3667	25367	6732	694	64448	CON	LIB	2621	4.1%	LIB	CON
59025	Skeena—Bulkley Valley	NDP	NDP	CON	NDP	CON	12458	16978	1895	1606	1198	34135	NDP	CON	4520	13.2%		NDP
59027	South Surrey—White Rock—Cloverdale / Surrey-Sud—White Rock—Cloverdale	CON	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	31216	7146	11515	4951	273	55101	CON	LIB	19701	35.8%		CON
59028	Surrey North / Surrey-Nord	NDP	NDP	CON	NDP	CON	13718	12608	5232	1941	1359	34858	CON	NDP	1110	3.2%	NDP	NDP
59029	Vancouver Centre / Vancouver-Centre	LIB	LIB	NDP	LIB	CON	14224	12043	19438	10316	436	56457	LIB	CON	5214	9.2%		LIB
59030	Vancouver East / Vancouver-Est	NDP	NDP	LIB	NDP	CON	6422	22506	7127	4708	594	41357	NDP	LIB	15379	37.2%		NDP
59031	Vancouver Island North / Ile de Vancouver-Nord	NDP	CON	NDP	CON	NDP	26166	23681	2391	4563	361	57162	CON	NDP	2485	4.3%	NDP	CON
59032	Vancouver Kingsway	LIB	LIB	NDP	LIB	NDP	12417	15933	13134	3031	749	45264	NDP	LIB	2799	6.2%		LIB
59033	Vancouver Quadra	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	20563	4493	25371	4916	332	55675	LIB	CON	4808	8.6%	LIB	CON
59034	Vancouver South / Vancouver-Sud	LIB	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	15995	7288	16774	2209	213	42479	LIB	CON	779	1.8%		LIB
59035	Victoria	NDP	NDP	CON	NDP	CON	16337	26423	10006	6292	237	59295	NDP	CON	10086	17.0%	NDP	NDP
59036	West Vancouver—Sunshine Coast—Sea to Sky Country	LIB	CON	LIB	CON	LIB	26826	8565	15978	8644	0	60013	CON	LIB	10848	18.1%		CON

# North

Regional Summary																		
	CON	NDP	LIB	GRN	OTH	TOTAL												
2006 Seat Counts (Election Day, actual)	0	1	2	0	0	3												
2008 Estimated Wins (Oct 12, no strategic voting)	0	1	2	0	0	3												
2008 Estimated Wins (Oct 12, with strategic voting)	0	1	2	0	0	3												
2008 Estimated Wins (E-Day vote share, no strategic voting)	1	1	1	0	0	3												
2008 Seat Counts (Election Day, actual)	1	1	1	0	0	3												

## Regional Breakdown

Riding	Name	Elected 2006	VFE Pick		Avoided Loss		2008 Election Results						Elected 2008	Second 2008	Margin of win	Margin %	VFE Pick (Oct 12)	Avoided Loss (E-Day)
			Top Polling Oct 12	2nd Polling Oct 12	Leading Vote Share E-Day	2nd Vote Share E-Day	CON	NDP	LIB	GRN	OTH	Total						
62001	Nunavut	LIB	LIB	CON	CON	LIB	2806	2228	2359	675	0	8068	CON	LIB	447	5.5%	LIB	CON
61001	Western Arctic	NDP	NDP	LIB	NDP	CON	5146	5669	1858	752	252	13677	NDP	CON	523	3.8%		NDP
60001	Yukon	LIB	LIB	NDP	LIB	CON	4758	1306	6567	1880	0	14511	LIB	CON	1809	12.5%		LIB